IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR: C.G.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1947 OF 2001

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227,
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Petitioner : Ramsharan Yadav S/o Shri Ryarallal aged about 36 years, Parshad, Vice-President, Pradesh Bhartiya Kisan Majdoor, Congress, Rajendra-Nagar, Bilaspur, Tah.& District Bilaspur(C.G.)

Vs.

Respondents : 1. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Through-Additional Secretary (Secretariat), Raipur (C.G.)

2. ~~Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Through-~~ Executive Engineer (O&M), Division , ~~Through,~~ Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Sakti, District- Janjgeer-Champa (C.G.)

3. Suprintendent Engineer, (O&M), Circle, Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P. R. No. 1709/01
Received by Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal
dated 22.8.2001

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर (24)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1947 of सन् 2001

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24.09.2002 Shri Sanjay Shyam Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri R.S.Jaiswal, counsel for the respondents. Heard. The grievance of the writ petitioner is to the inaction of the authorities of the M.P. Electricity Board in not supplying to him true/authenticated copies of certain documents for which he had applied on 4.1.2000. A list of documents, copies whereof required was annexed to the application. According to the petitioner, he had applied for the copies in terms of guidelines of the Board dt.25.7.1998. Drawing attention to clause 4 of the guidelines, it is the submission of the learned counsel that if for any reason, the concerned officer was not in a position to supply the copies he was required to pass an appropriate order against which the matter could be taken up before the higher authorities under the said clause. This, according to the petitioner, has not been done. Attention has also been invited to the clause 5, which provides for punishment for refusal to supply the copies for which prayer is made. -2-	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1947 सन् 200)

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Undisputedly, in the case at hand and, as it appears from the record, the copies have not been supplied. The officer had intimated the writ petitioner that the matter has been referred to the higher authorities and after obtaining their opinion, the matter shall be attended to. In this view of the matter, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the writ petition as it can be disposed of at this stage by issuing appropriate direction to respondents. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to pass an appropriate order on the application of the petitioner in the light of the guidelines Annexure P-1, within 7 days from the date of receipt/communication of this order. They may take a decision after the amount is deposited by the petitioner. Since the authorities refunded the amount deposited by the petitioner without taking any action on his application, the petitioner may re-deposit the amount within a week from today.

With the direction made above, the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge

8/10/12

8/10/12

Case is received from the Board

[पीछे देखिये

08/10/12